



# 8/ Amdt. aw/decl.  
W. Morgan  
1/16/04

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Grudnitski, et al.

Examiner: Saadat, Cameron

Serial No.: 09/922,223

Group Art Unit: 3713

10

Filed: 08/01/2001

Our Ref: LLI001

For: "Method and System for Interactive Case and Video-Based Teacher Training"

15 RE: **RESPONSE**

Hon. Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

20

**RESPONSE**

Dear Examiner:

In response to the Office Action dated July 17, 2003, having a shortened-statutory response period extending through and including October 17, 2003, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner consider the following remarks. **All amendments and remarks herein are made without prejudice.**

25

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action of July 17, 2003, wherein:

30

A. Oath/Declaration: The declaration filed on 1/14/02 was considered defective;

B. Claims 1-46 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as his invention;

C. Claims 1-8, 10-15, 17-31, 33-38, and 40-46 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mortimer et al. (USPN 6,091,930; hereinafter

35

Mortimer); and

- 5 D. Claims 9, 16, 32, and 39 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mortimer et al. (USPN 6,091,930; hereinafter Mortimer) in view of Thomas (USPN 6,086,382).